
Independent Ethics & Justice Investigation Panel

CENSURE OF UNETHICAL AUDITOR: JONATHAN BURKE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. TABLE OF CONTENTS & COVER LETTER (this page)
2. SUMMARY REPORT & TREASON CONDITION ASSIGNMENT (2 page issue)
3. FULL REPORT (18 page issue – including excerpts from Knowledge Reports)

COVER LETTER

Dear Scientologist:

An ongoing situation in the field with an unethical auditor, Jonathan Burke, has persisted unhandled for several years. This is the first project assembling both the reports of undelivered service, and also technical analysis of folder evidence that escaped Jonathan's control and ongoing withhold of the relevant preclear and pre-OT folders (even those formally requested when urgently needed).

Our investigation was prompted by a number of factors that came to a head this year. One ongoing situation had been defections of staff, although only a few of those were willing to provide reports. Another recent situation was having three repayment requests for return of payments toward undelivered services following the sale of "auditing packages" (similar or identical to "Clear" packages) which promised a complete bridge, but incentivized "quickie" delivery (including skipping Grades) and evaluation of the state of Clear – (something LRH was strongly against, as it is the worst example of dishonest delivery). Added to the several non-delivery situations reported, "quickie Clear" threatens the repute of Scientology auditing and auditors throughout all of Scientology.

An executive summary report (two pages) is provided in this issue which can be easily printed off for local distribution or posting to websites. The long-form report gives specifics taken from limited-distribution reports, as well as from full-length Knowledge Reports signed off for public distribution.

This report is not being issued lightly. Anyone could have issued a report, warning, or Conditions assignment (and one opinion leader did issue a Conditions order, but without specifics and examples), for any of the situations which appeared even before Jonathan's AO-GP (Advanced Organization of the Great Plains) was formed in 2019. But all of those lower-gradient warnings that were available to Jonathan went unheeded, and conditions increasingly worsened (as will be seen in the timeline).

Recently we called for all available reports, and summarized those reports for the benefit of the field.

Scott Gordon – Lead Investigator
Independent Ethics & Justice Investigation Panel

Independent Ethics & Justice Investigation Panel

**CENSURE OF UNETHICAL AUDITOR:
JONATHAN BURKE
(TREASON CONDITION ASSIGNED)**

(This is a summary issue – for details please refer to the full report attached, or available on request.)

Jonathan Burke has been the subject of a number of Knowledge Reports – both formal and informal – and mostly of limited and confidential distribution due to the identities of the victims (and, as is understandable where bullying and threats are being used, their unwillingness to go on public record).

Hubbard Scientology provides for a limited access to Knowledge Reports and a Department 3 – Investigations & Reports, for the purpose of investigation. But where activity goes beyond the reach of a single organization that can remain truly impartial, investigation must be conducted independently, following the same procedures and policy one would expect in standard justice proceedings.

In at least five separate cases, services remained to be delivered in accordance with the original agreement. Yet, after such agreements came up short, none of the money “on account” was ever returned by Jonathan for the undelivered services.

Added to that, we find breaches of agreements with regard to unpaid loans from his own staff and/or preclears. One of these was finally taken to a government civil court, which ruled that Jonathan was ordered to pay the loan in default, plus reasonable interest and penalties. Jonathan left the country, however, without making any arrangements, and to this day has not paid any of it (he attempted to claim the debt was “paid” and used two different reasons – neither of them legitimate – to assert this).

Jonathan has shown a pattern of aggressive, defensive asserting of rightness in keeping all monies collected, rather than repaying unused services. Some instances have been reported of his use of yelling, profanity and threats – actions of a bully – while defending and justifying his behaviors. All of which tends to intimidate the victim into submission, while extending the wait for any redress of their grievances – usually a simple request for repayment – eventually driving the person into despair.

A recent case was noted of a repayment request which went on and on without resolution, but finally ended up with most of the money recovered through the PayPal merchant refund policy. Jonathan retaliated against this person, going across the line defining what is expected for an auditor to protect – the sanity of a preclear and the security of data reported to Jonathan in confidence. Jonathan, having already made public this person’s OCA graph in an effort to discredit him (strongly implying that the low OCA scores made the person’s statements “unreliable”), went even further and revealed a highly sensitive disclosure made within the trust established in a developing auditor-preclear agreement. This was done in a public space, even though never meant to be made public. Taken out of context, as Jonathan presented it, it could easily have been misused by suppressive police, or to utterly destroy the person’s reputation.

In doing this, Jonathan disturbingly revealed his willingness to flaunt one of the more important points of the Auditor's Code. This is the critical point which relies on willingness to fully disclose ones transgressions in order to facilitate and assure case progress. This damaging action on the part of Jonathan Burke, of course, had to be repaired in further sessions with another auditor.

Jonathan drove another client to despair about his case, who then, on one single occasion and no further, resorted to the use of cocaine as a temporary escape, only to find Jonathan denying him further service (even though paid for in advance), pointing to the fine print of his contract that the other had been required to sign. Without giving any second chance or opportunity to correct this one-time ethics lapse, Jonathan used this as a pretext not just to stop the auditing (which could have been easily salvaged with an ethics handling) but to keep thousands of dollars in undelivered service. Jonathan also blocked this person's efforts to collect on his personal loan to Jonathan, that had nothing to do with their service agreement.

In the past, certain legitimate excuses were present which colored the fair adjudication of cases which Jonathan claimed were no longer living up to their initial agreement. However, a pattern developed of Jonathan more and more using any available excuse to continue to indefinitely withhold funds owed – even going as far as inventing such excuses. Jonathan has made use of convoluted rules in legal waivers, no longer in alignment with traditional policy, that have been used to deny a person further service – all without repayment for those services never delivered.

Some of these reports have, for one thing, uncovered a pattern of Jonathan offering services he was not honest about in terms of his qualifications to deliver. While it is possible to “self-train” – it is incumbent on the auditor who does not have ordinary certification to state this fact, and not to misrepresent his/her formal training and experience to anyone.

Technical reports, along with statements and reports of ex-staff, have revealed a tendency to “quickie” the bridge including telling those who he is attesting “Clear” – already having missed out on Dianetics – that they do not need any more of the Grades. (We could not find evidence of the full Clear Certainty Rundown in use, but instead only a “Date/Locate” – one step of that rundown – being done.) Upper level case procedures have been used without bringing the person up the Grades and Solo courses (which also provides an excuse for not letting them see the complete reference being used).

Jonathan Burke has demonstrated by his actions over a period of several years, now, that he can no longer be trusted to disseminate or represent Scientology as a practicing auditor. He has also demonstrated that he cannot be trusted with the sensitive and confidential data of those he has been entrusted to audit. He has demonstrated by a history of wrongful actions in the area of finance that he can not be trusted managing money entrusted to his care. Jonathan has demonstrated time and again that he cannot be trusted to deliver what was promised. He has accumulated unhappy clients who feel they did not get what they paid for (and, of those, most feel they have been stolen from).

Jonathan Burke is therefore operating in a Condition of TREASON to honest practitioners of Scientology & Dianetics auditing in the field of Independent Scientology over the world. He is so assigned by this broad publication.

-Scott Gordon – Lead Investigator
Independent Ethics & Justice Investigation Panel

Independent Ethics & Justice Investigation Panel

**CENSURE OF UNETHICAL AUDITOR:
JONATHAN BURKE**

(FULL REPORT - KNOWLEDGE REPORTS SUMMARIES - ATTACHMENT)

(NOT for public posting or Facebook – please use only the above 2-page summary for public view, and then you may offer and link the full, 21-page report, which includes the following, for download, only.)

re: **Investigation Summaries of Knowledge Reports on Jonathan Burke** of AO-GP (“Advanced Organization of the Great Plains”) and AO-GP dot-com.

Warning to all Scientologists:

Dangerous Auditor Operating in a State of Financial Chaos and Fixed Technical Ideas in Contradiction to the Hubbard Scientology Bridge of Gradient, Safe, Case Advance.

Preface:

In order to understand the format of this investigative report, it is important to stand back and take a good look at the time span involved. This is not the subject of a minor, one-time, "technical correction" or any singular "Ethics handling." This is a long-running and progressing field situation. This situation must be confronted; it features a competent auditor who should, if possible, be salvaged. The only thing we in the field can do until it IS salvaged, is to shun and de-list this auditor, while warning others. Meanwhile others will continue to be given free agency to deal with him at their own risk.

Issue Authority?

We claim none. Scott Gordon is an active field auditor and case supervisor who claims no more than thorough and complete official church training through Auditor Class 0, augmented by co-auditing and self-training throughout a now, 14-year professional practice. As a former Director of Special Affairs – Celebrity Centre Dallas (1999-2004) and Mission of Costa Rica (2004-2009), Scott went through extensive training and experience in field ethics investigations, successfully handling several extreme threats to Scientology in both locations. This included ethics and justice procedures in applying LRH policy, as well as legal know-how and common-sense, common-law procedures known and in use in the world at-large. This has continued, where necessary and called for, outside the church in the Independent Scientology field. This issue has only as much authority as you grant it. It relies on data analysis and its presentation – not on anyone's rank or position.

WHAT IS YOUR JOB?

Anything in an organization is your job if it lessens the confusion if you do it.

Your being exactly on post and using your exact comm lines lessens confusion. But failure to wear another hat that isn't yours now and then may cause more confusion than being exactly on post.

The question when you see you will have to handle something not yours is this:

"Will it cause less confusion to handle it or to slam it back onto its proper lines?"

AFFINITY FRAUD

For any Scientologist, buying auditing is a significant INVESTMENT in what inevitably becomes their primary life pursuit:

The Scientology Auditing Bridge

Due to the magnitude of time and effort in this - often part-time, but nevertheless long-term and continuous pursuit - the overall investment can be considerable. Scientologists have mortgaged their houses, spent life savings, foregone family building, and even stalled or abandoned other careers to pursue it.

It is not a light matter and not an exaggeration to state that this constitutes a major life investment far in excess of ordinary investments, and certainly greater in importance to the spiritually oriented.

Failing to become and remain a guardian of that investment and of that person's case and sanity, becomes therefore a significant betrayal of trust.

Any mis-use of the trust established among Scientologists is a matter of grave concern.

Use of connections past and present, to Scientology activity, is use of that group's Affinity.

Mis-use of such connections past and present, constitutes -

Affinity Fraud

"Recovery from affinity fraud is hindered by stigma, which discourages victims from reporting incidents to external authorities, opting instead for internal resolutions that often fail to deliver justice or healing. This reluctance stems from fears of further community judgment and the desire to protect group reputation, delaying psychological support and communal reconciliation. As a result, the long-term healing process is protracted, with many victims remaining in states of emotional withdrawal."

https://gropedia.com/page/Affinity_fraud

TIMELINE:

2006 - Clearwater, Florida - civil court judgment outstanding on Jonathan Burke for passing four bad checks to HC Trading for a total of \$20+ thousand dollars.

2008 – Jonathan received auditing free of charge from Marty Rathbun, on the understanding that "you can pay what you feel it was worth to you." Jonathan has since nattered about Marty, whom Jonathan claims blurted out something to the effect that, "no one should interfere with church copyrights" - and from this, concluded that this was Marty still in the employ of the church. Jonathan apparently has no interest in the drastic changes Marty has gone through since then, and borrows from the anti-Scientologist, weak and incorrect conclusions that "Marty is back in the church" (which is not true, even though he did make some agreement to use Gold studios to record some of his final statements revealing a coordinated anti-Scientology take-down having been done with the "Going Clear" books and documentaries, giving in exchange, permission for the church to use these to defend Scientology from these intelligence operations using big media to obliterate any potential further public interest in Scientology). This was also used as a motivator to later claim that Marty Rathbun has been a common denominator of efforts to "third party" Jonathan, and that it is somehow a coordinated campaign.

"According to C. T., Burke was encouraged to pay Marty back 'at least \$1,000' for auditing received in 2008." - [Mary Blackford notes in her KR]

~**2013** - Jonathan Burke releases a "meter reads" independent Scientology film to mixed reviews. It has crudely produced clips of inauthentic (hand generated) "meter reads" at a very amateur production level. Some appreciate there is a film at all to refer to, to see examples of reads. Others are disappointed and refuse to endorse or promote it at all.

[Jonathan uses Dan Koon's name in the film credits without having asked Dan's permission or endorsement]

2013-2014 - Jonathan buys a motor home at the same time he collects \$9,000 from a pre-OT for "OT levels," then drives off and leaves her after she completed OT III and after colluding with the C/S Patricia Krenik to invent a new case action Jonathan says she needs to pay additional money for, even though thousands of dollars remain on account undelivered. She refuses, tearing up the new case supervision, which was a gross wrong indication for her. Not getting any word back from Jonathan, she is eventually able to recover some of these funds through PayPal, but gets a phone call from a loud and angry Jonathan Burke for "messing up his finances."

[Scott Gordon posted in **2014** in the "iHELP" Free Scientology Facebook group, an article in protest of Jonathan's collecting advance payments for "big packages" - and how this was doomed to fail.]

[Scott Gordon picked up this cycle around 2016 attempting to mediate a settlement. Jonathan Burke shrugged this off, claiming he no longer owed her anything and that she had collected it all back. This pre-OT, however, claims she is still owed thousands.]

2015 - flap with selectee (Inez) of Peter Torres who paid \$30,000 after being told by Jonathan that he could service her in Spanish (he could not, and instead set about trying to educate her using English classes).

[This issue was brought up at that time by myself, Scott Gordon, to an Independent Scientology Facebook group run by Karen de la Carriere, who had been enthusiastically notifying others about Jonathan being declared "Type III" by the church. Jonathan was (correctly so) invited into the group by a group admin to "face his accusers." I wrote what I did entirely based on the Peter Torres e-mails which had gone broadly into the field. Peter was rightfully upset about the failed agreement and failed delivery in Spanish, but his efforts were sabotaged by his own selectee when she started dating her C/S, which gave Jonathan a justification for stopping the auditing (demanding his own C/S which Peter and Inez would not agree to), and so, as far as we know, Inez has never had her advanced payments for unused services returned.]

Here are some excerpts from reports made public by Peter Torres, the FSM for Inez:

"Jonathan not only insisted in the 50% deposit , but he was now not willing to subtract from this 50% the \$2k that my friend had already paid to him , alleging undergoing through a lot of financial pressure and situations, which actually portrayed a very unprofessional approach to a business cycle from him.

"My friend was put all by herself, to study English using an I-pad and an English-learning program provided by Jonathan. Jonathan would mostly sit near my friend with his own computer doing his own work not related in any way with my friend's auditing/training cycle. Besides some sporadic pronunciation corrections, my friend was mostly by her own struggling along, and progressing very slowly on it. No drills at all done by Jonathan to help my friend speed things up. No learning and duplication drills applied to the learning of English. None of that; no help at all."

...

"So not only my friend left that city not knowing more English than when she originally arrived there, but now she was under the undue pressure of the possibility to lose her already paid money and chance to go Clear; an obvious PTSing scenario.

"My friend thought about just canceling the whole cycle, but she had already invested thousands of dollars in the cycle, and didn't want risk losing her hard-earned money. So she decided to go back as agreed, but to talk with Jonathan about all the out-points, and set things right. To Confront him about his wife non-Spanish speaking scenario, and about Jonathan's undue demands for money w/out any real products having been delivered yet.

"Jonathan (as a very criminal out-exchange action) had already R-factored my friend that he was expecting the rest of the other 50% (the total amount of the package) by the time my friend was back from Christmas vacation. My friend refused to pay him not even a cent more before real products started to occur. He went berserk, and wrote threatening emails to me to attempt to get me to either handle my friend to pay, or he would just stop servicing her. But he wasn't even servicing her at all!!! - unless one calls sitting next to someone doing nothing as "servicing." I confronted him about his lie about his supposed Spanish-speaking wife."

...

"At one point she called me and originated to me some concerns she had about the auditing she was receiving from Jonathan Burke. Apparently no exams were being performed after sessions, and there were Auditor's Code violations occurring like:

"1) Attempting to force a win on a PC, implying directly to the PC that 'a win must have occurred because she had F/Ned already' - a gross violation of the Auditor's Code. Not only that, but arguing with the PC when this PC protested against F/Ns.

"2) Directing the PC's attention to E-meter needle or TA behavior with comments such as, 'Your TA is High' or 'Your TA goes high after 1-2 hrs of session' or 'You F/Ned, did you have any win?' - both evaluations, invalidations, and violations of point #17 of the 1968 Auditor's Code, as well as other LRH refs."

...

"The day after Jonathan wrote that email to my friend informing her of his arbitrary decision to work with another C/S, and his threat to her that either she agreed with that or he would not be willing to continue servicing my friend, Jonathan left the city and state and abandoned this PC, w/out even waiting for a reply from my friend, and leaving her with incredible BPC, and possibly (as exams were not being done) in the middle of an unhandled Reg Tag that, as of today June 9 2015, STILL remains unhandled."

...

"After Jonathan left, he sent an email to my friend (he didn't even have the courage to talk the matter personally with my friend, face to face) R-factoring her that he would not continue with the cycle as it was 'against his moral code' to do so. He sent a website link of a supposedly Spanish-speaking auditor in the Field, and the R-factor that he had left a postdated check under my friend's name (of only half the total amount she paid him) so that she could cash it within a period of 3-4 months(!!!) That 'check' never arrived at my friend's hands. She never saw, nor received such check.

"Jonathan's email to my friend inferred (which Jonathan's confirmed in later emails) that he thought that he was justified in only refunding 50% of the total amount paid to him, with a misguided idea that his 'services' to my friend (a total of maybe 30 hrs of sessions out of all the hrs that he would have spent on her from Scn DRD up to NED completion) were valued at '\$8,000 USD' - a total lie and criminal out-exchange consideration.

"In the written contract between the parties, it clearly indicated that he would refund the whole amount paid to him if the PC (my friend) wasn't satisfied with his services (which is exactly the case here). My friend is not only not satisfied, she received gross out-tech auditing from Jonathan, as well, which violates the contract all by itself. But Jonathan now refuses to refund the whole amount (or ANY amount, for that matter), and doesn't even answer my friend's emails to him asking Jonathan when she can expect the full refund, or even to allow Jonathan a fair payment plan."

...

"So I am hereby assigning Jonathan Burke the condition of TREASON, as both an auditor and a Scientologist, for the reasons of:

"1. Abandoning his PC in a middle of incomplete auditing actions.

"2. Gross auditing errors in metering and non-adherence to the Auditor's Code in relation to evaluation, invalidation, and point #17 - in spite of honest attempts from a competent cramming officer to correct him - a correction that Jonathan blew from.

"3. Obtaining money from a parishioner based on lies and false promises, such as that about his wife being a Spanish speaking terminal when she clearly was not, and that she would work with my friend from the beginning to end of the whole cycle to help my friend with the language barrier, which never happened.

"4. Falsifying worksheets.

"5. Gross out-Tech

"6. Not delivering what was promised, and refusing to refund all monies paid to him when a grossly bad-serviced public demanded such a total refund, in spite of having clearly established so on Jonathan's own contract with the parishioner.

"7. Dishonesty, and criminal out-exchange."

...

"I swear under penalty of perjury, that any and all information supplied in this document is the truth, and nothing but the truth.

"Today, Tuesday 9 June 2015.
Peter Torres, aka Theta Clear"

...

[Jonathan then mounted an aggressive "legal" defense, filled with accusations and threats (including demanding her physical home address) against Inez, as excerpts will show before giving additional points provided by Peter Torres in his report of all this]

"From: Peter Torres – 27 June 2015"

...

"Upon having received the condition assignment, and after a post published at MS2 broadly informing of Jonathan's refusal to refund all the monies paid to him by his grossly bad serviced PC/student (my friend and selectee) , I got an email communication from MS2 R-factoring me that Jonathan had called in to inform that he already had my friend's money, and was only waiting to receive her physical address to send her money in.

"I immediately proceeded to get in comm with my friend to inform her that Jonathan wanted her address to send her the money that she had asked Jonathan to refund in full. In subsequent communications with her, she told me that she had written to Jonathan a very simple and short email, basically providing him an address to send the money in, and the clarification of what was the total quantity that Jonathan was expected to send (the total of what she had paid him).

"As suspected by me due to my intense training in Ethics/Justice , Jonathan had no intentions whatsoever to refund her the money , but had basically 'PRed' MS2 into believing that an actual Ethics change had occurred."

"Legal" letter sent by Jonathan Burke to Inez:

"From: 'Jonathan Burke' <midwestnotsauditor@gmail.com> Date: 22/06/2015

"Re: About sending the money in

"Ms...

"Any information you have received from anyone regarding my willingness to pay back the entire amount of \$16,200.00 is erroneous. It in fact contradicts your own acknowledgment and acceptance of my good faith offer via email of \$8000 and the iPad used in your training, which I received via email from you in March, 2015 from your @gmail.com email account, which is still in our possession.

"In light of the tactics being used by your associates, you will be debited \$3000 for the erroneous and slanderous emails sent out last week, which were clearly designed as an effort to blackmail and cause harm to myself and our organization. Any further emails, internet posts, videos or other forms of communication engaging in this activity will be assessed the same rate per occurrence. To clarify, any verbal, written, or video derogatory third-party statement from you, your associate Mr. Torres, or your boyfriend Mr... against me or this organization that we receive from any other party or the internet will be met with the same response.

"In addition, there will be a 90-day period starting the 25th of June 2015 to ensure that this activity has ceased before payment begins. Any payment made, will be sent to a U.S. address that it has been proven that you, personally, reside in, not someone else's address. You will need to sign a non-disclosure agreement stating that you and any/all of your associates will refrain from making further disparaging remarks in this ongoing matter. A verified written apology and retraction of all statements delivered to all parties who received those statements will need to be made by Mr. Torres before payments begin.

"Since you refused or ignored all of our efforts to obtain your physical address, we will not be sending payment to... That opportunity has passed.

"To note, we have a signed contract with you stating no civil action will be taken without mediation in the State of California. Any civil action taken on your part will be met with a breach of contract suit immediately without reservation.

"To repeat: any continued defamation on my organization or person on your part, or by any of your associates will be met with a continued reduction in funds owed.

"Any further inquires can be sent to:

"Shannon N. Mandel Esq. of

"Deming, Parker, Hoffman, Campbell & Daly 4851 Jimmy Carter Boulevard Norcross, Georgia 30093

"Sincerely,
Jonathan Burke" (End of Mr. Burke's email)

"To clarify a few things here; my friend and Jonathan's former PC/student, has not participated in any way, shape or form in any of my actions in my efforts to apply Scientology Justice to Mr. Burke. What she only has done (after carefully examining all her emails to Mr. Burke) is to demand the full refund of her money to which she is not only morally and ethically entitled, but also legally entitled as:

"A. It was clearly established in the contract between the parties (which I have a copy of), that Jonathan Burke would refund all monies paid to him if his client (my friend) were not satisfied with the services delivered to her, something which my friend has made very clear to Mr. Burke : that she is 100% not satisfied with his services.

"B. Not only that, but Mr. Burke failed to fulfill his contract's obligations by abandoning his PC and by using false statements and promises to entice my friend to accept his services."

...

"Mr. Jonathan's statements concerning my friend's alleged refusal to provide him with her physical address to send the money in, is false, malicious, and only intended to suppress, cover up his crimes, and Black PR to confuse others and escape discipline. My friend asked Jonathan on several occasions to send her the written proposed payment plan so that she can discuss it first with her lawyers so make sure about the legality of it, and to make sure that accepting such a payment plan would not put her in the delicate position to lose her right to sue Mr. Burke for Breach of Contract and Fraud."

...

"Mr. Burke is obviously using slanderous, malicious, and suppressive tactics in an irresponsible effort to fail to assume his moral, ethical, legal, and civil duty to refund all monies paid to him for undelivered services, and services delivered (the very few hours that he did deliver) in a clear breach of the original contract by using false promises to close the Reg cycle, and by using on my friend totally altered Scientology Tech which resulted in severe spiritual distress for my friend, and a heavy loss of time and money."

...

"I, Peter Torres aka Thetaclear, swear under penalty of perjury that everything and anything included in this Ethics Order is the truth, and nothing but the truth.

"Best Regards,
Peter Torres"

<https://web.archive.org/web/20241213131541/https://forum.exscn.net/threads/independent-scientology-treason-condition-assignment-and-non-enturbulation-order.39852/>

2016 Summer - Pablo Fernandez begins auditing with Jonathan Burke. For the next years, Pablo spends over \$40,000 towards services - all in advance payments and 3/4 of this came to be delivered. - Pablo Fernandez KR of 22 May 2026

2017 - Pablo Fernandez loans Jonathan Burke \$4,000 for a business idea Jonathan had. They were no partners in this business. Jonathan promised to repay this loan at a profit, to be finalized "within months" at \$6,000. (3,000 was later applied towards services, with \$3,000 remaining to be paid.) - Pablo Fernandez KR of 22 May 2026

Note - This added up to \$13,400 - in present time, Pablo generously awards Jonathan Burke for handling Pablo's business partner telepathically ("at a distance") – which Pablo assumed Jonathan put work into, because it turned out well for Pablo's partner. [summarized from Pablo Fernandez KR of 22 May 2026]

2018 - Theresa Burke did L-11 with Jonathan Burke. She has stated that she was told by Jonathan that he was "one of the few qualified to deliver" in the independent field. (There were good indicators at first, and a very powerful success story written on her completion, which she later reportedly stated was just done to "get away from Jonathan")

[No coherent Knowledge Report has ever been made on this. The data we have has come from comments in a name-hijacked group run by Cuitlahuac Gonzales that he named (copying and spoofing Scott Gordon's signature "FreeScientology" project) "Free Scientology Ethics."]

[This group was allowed to natter and third party Jonathan, while at the same time became a place where other, similar cycles of concern began to come forward. But what we can assume from comments made there, Jonathan accused Theresa of having "2D designs" and "flows" and that she (conveniently?) became an "out-ethics" client. She was even given ethics handlings without a supervising C/S and reportedly, in the end, was finally declared "PTS Type III" by Jonathan.]

[Cuitlahuac's negligent and irresponsible management of what should have been a serious ethics matter, did real damage to the effort of getting ethics in and justice carried out in this case. Jonathan even came to use this as "evidence of a third party campaign against Jonathan Burke in the field" – which his followers have accepted and approved of, as a reason not to look into mounting allegations against Jonathan Burke, and that his detractors on the other hand, have used to demonize Jonathan and justify denying him a fair trial.]

[Theresa Burke has steadily avoided typing up a standard Knowledge Report or ever writing anything that ever resembled one. There was some natter mixed in with real pleas for help, alongside attacks against Jonathan by others who got involved, but in all this, hardly any specifics ever given.]

[In the end, Jonathan claimed he delivered what she paid for. She reportedly claims, however, that he still owes her "\$5,000 in unused services." She announced she would settle for \$2,500" - but even then Jonathan refused to repay her. (As you read on, you can consider which of the above claims is more likely.)]

2018-present day – Jonathan Burke gets a certificate made up which has on it Patricia Krenik's signature, which states that Jonathan has completed "Theory and Practical of L-11" in "20 May 2018." This was for use in a mainly internal document (leaked to investigators) intended to show to anyone coming to AO-GP with questions about why Theresa Blake was now dissatisfied with her experience with Jonathan auditing her on L-11.

2019

AO-GP Opens for Business in a Physical Organization

"In **April 2019**, using SB's funds, Burke set up a new, expanded org (nice furniture, expensive computers, desks and lamps) in June 2019. Burke then lost \$19,000 in stock market, using SB's money without prior approval." - [KR of Mary Blackford]

April 2019 - brought John Nunez to AOGP. John had been homeless and a methamphetamine addict. John was also suicidal, and as a sidenote, had earlier been the subject of allegations (never made public) that he had turned some 2D counseling done in Las Vegas, into a bid for the attention of the female (inappropriate advances), causing the couple continued trouble, as they only stayed together for a short time after.

[Scott Gordon had first hand knowledge as the auditor of the male who was embittered by this betrayal. He learned of this through auditing this person in January 2013, also learning of John's reversion to marijuana use. To his credit, John Nunez had gone through his training and emerged as a whistleblower against Flag and David Miscavige abuses, and it should have been an opportunity for him to rehabilitate back up the conditions in getting back to work at AO-GP as a C/S and auditor.]

May-June 2019 - "The first unfinished cycle is Original OT 7. Last spring (May/June 2019), Jonathan said (verbal), "You do not need OT VII. It's about intention, and your intention is fine." I figured this was a CS determination." [Mary Blackford KR]

June 19, 2019 - Jonathan delivered his own version of SuperPower Step One using a Sea Org Security check instead of the Ethics Repair list widely available in the field as a completed rundown with an authentic LRH Ethics Repair issue. (This was found later when she went to Trey Lotz for the same rundown, who had the standard Ethics Repair list.) Jonathan even halted the rundown, mid-list, stating, "this does not apply to you because you were not in the Sea Org" - and did not finish even when it was known she once had been in the Sea Org. [Mary Blackford KR]

October 4-November 15, 2019 - Jonathan began receiving False Purpose Rundown (FPRD) from the new C/S John Nunez. Jonathan developed an ear infection and inflammation of nerves in the neck. Instead of getting assists, Jonathan took ibuprofen for the pain, which precluded getting back in session on his FPRD, *which was never then finished*, even after the condition (cervical radiculopathy) healed.

[SG note - note that in the course of this investigation I have encountered an allegation that Jonathan blew FPRD some years earlier that he had started with Pierre Ethier - therefore the one thing that most could have helped salvage Jonathan, by helping lay the groundwork for correcting his continuing and increasing out-ethics, was sabotaged by Jonathan, himself - SG]

November 2019 - a former client of Jonathan Burke's appears in Toronto with his solo folder to get help with auditors there:

- he is stuck on OT III

- he has never done Scientology grades (unstable case) because Jonathan told him "Grades not needed"

- he was not given a CCRD or DSCI for his origination of achieving Clear, but only a "Date/Locate" which is a final procedure requiring set-ups (incomplete handling of Clear)

- having had a severe headache ("red tag"), Jonathan shows this person a confidential OT III reference (and only part of it - omitted context) and runs this upper level procedure non-standardly

- on his solo study with Jonathan, an entire, vital section, "Listing & Nulling" was simply omitted (essential training omitted)

- rather than do a standard Solo course, Jonathan short-cut the course by feeding him the data "Method 7" - which replaced study of written materials (omitted achievement of Solo course)

- Jonathan allowed this person to attest to OT II based on a "did this in a past life" origination, in spite of the course requiring full discharge of all items to the end (mutual out-ruds in other field delivery in quickie attestations, but this is the worst example known other than just skipping it - which has happened, as well) - (incomplete EP incorrectly validated)

- again, winds up stalled on OT III, then looks elsewhere for help

[summarized from KR provided by Pierre Bartnik, Field Review Auditor and Case Supervisor]

[SG note – in my observation, this case may have played into some *serious consequences* - since John Nunez also audited this person, it now appears that Jonathan involved John Nunez, dependent on him for food and shelter, into participating in and condoning this gross out-tech at some time within the weeks leading up to John's own suicide]

[D. L. = ongoing violent criminal]

Nov 2019 - Jonathan endangered the lives of staff by pressing a staffmember to allow this criminal (D. L.) to stay at their house. He went out, got drunk and wound up in police custody. Jonathan picked him up and took him home to his RV, where the criminal ranted that if he had to go back to stay at the staffmember's house, he would "slit their (along with her husband) throats." Jonathan did not notify police or the staffmember that she could be in real danger. It was months later that the staffmember

finally found out from Jonathan's abandoned, common law wife (Carrie) that this had occurred. Meanwhile, the criminal continued drinking and getting in trouble and threatened Jonathan physically as he finally blew. [summarized from KR by Mary Blackford]

"D. spent the night at Jonathan and Carrie's RV. Sometime during the evening D. ranted that "if [Jonathan] made him return to [Bill and Mary's] house, he'd come upstairs during the night and slit our throats.'" Neither Jonathan nor Carrie told us about this at the time. (Carrie T. told me about the incident in March 2020). Keeping D. L. on as a PC put staff and family into danger and is also against tech services policy." [KR by Mary Blackford]

[SG note - outside the group, an auditor can help any being he/she wants and doing so shows admirable confront and ability - with a group of Scientologists in an organization, however, it becomes imperative to follow policy designed to protect the group - this is because criminals and the insane pose a real danger - sometimes lethal danger - to any others around, besides just the auditor trying to help]

Nov-Dec 2019

The dangerous criminal was soon replaced by another criminal who was a heroin addict. Jonathan hid this fact continually from staff who were unaware that a heroin addict was continually reverting to drugs (relapsing at least three times) under Jonathan's supervision of its Purif. This put staff again in extreme danger.

Dec. 24, 2019 - a tragic event occurred. John Nunez had audited, then began dating, Jonathan Burke's daughter, who had second thoughts and began cooling off the relationship. Jonathan asked a staffmember for use of their house (while they were away) for John Nunez to stay over the holidays. John moved in for a temporary stay, but decided to commit suicide on Christmas Eve, December 24, 2019.

Jonathan went over to his father's house on Christmas day and according to report, flew into a rage, blaming his daughter (who was still unaware of the suicide) for John's death. Jonathan became violently threatening toward his father when he entered the room to see what the raging was about.

Jonathan failed to announce or even disclose this incident of John Nunez's suicide death to any of the Scientology field, which was a huge failure of respect on John Nunez and on people who knew him. There was no memorial service.

Jonathan stated after the (suicide) event to a staffmember, "If this gets out, it will crash the org."

"Contrary to his statement and agreement not to tell all, Jonathan proceeded to tell the a variety of stories about John's passing to John's pre-OT's and PCs." [KR of Mary Blackford]

Violated Auditor's Code:

"He has 'read my Solo sessions out loud' to Carrie T., including my Solo sessions from November (According to CT, 03/20). (per Carrie T., confirmed by her knowledge of some of my confidential session content)." [Mary Blackford KR]

It is noted that Jonathan Burke abandoned a long-term (15 years of significant contribution including her home equity) loyal partner (Carrie T.) on February 18, 2020 and moved in with this heroin addict. Before Jonathan left Carrie, for a young Scientologist lady from South Africa that he had met on-line (and who had become an AOGP student and pc), Jonathan in an argument told his partner Carrie during an argument, that she had "rock-slammed" during a D of P interview (which was an extreme violation of the Auditor's Code).

"About **January 17**, Carrie T., Jonathan's 2D and DED of AOGP invited Lisa Jane Kemsley to join the student online academy. Burke met her in online student union/STOSA. Burke started talking to Lisa a lot and began an online 2D relationship with Kemsley (per Carrie T.). On February 17, Burke dropped Carrie T. off at the gym, then texted her to say that "it is over." He cut all comm lines with Carrie from that moment. She didn't even know where he was for three days (per Carrie T.).

"Additional out-ethics on the 2D: Jonathan left and went to live with Ike, in Ike's apartment, while she was left in an RV in disrepair and with little gas for heat. Burke promised to pay (Carrie) T.'s utility bills, give her the Kia, and pay fees on their storage units, but frequently did not pay these bills until she fully disconnected her credit card, debit card, and utility bills (phone) from his name. (from personal observation/Carrie T. texts). Burke finally refused to give (Carrie) T. the Kia as he had promised. Burke insisted that all comm be channeled through Lisa Kemsley, whom he named new DED and MAA (despite her only being in Scientology for only two months). Per Carrie T., Burke broke into the RV he formerly shared with CT a couple of weeks after he had left (she was sleeping at the time), ostensibly to get his birth certificate so he could 'get a driver's license.'

"At around the same time, I was delivering some of the org's belongings to the Barry View Office Manager for Jonathan to pick up, and as I left, the office manager told me: "the little guy," (Ike) as she calls him, told her that '[he and Burke] would be going to Africa soon for two or three weeks.' (Note: not singular, but plural "they'd"). The office manager told Ike to 'be sure AOGP pays the rent before they leave.' Later, Burke told Barry View Office manager that he 'couldn't go to Africa because of the international travel restrictions.' It is possible that Jonathan was retrieving his birth certificate to be able to apply for a passport and a visa for South Africa." [from KR of Mary Blackford]

February 18, 2020 - "Burke blew from relationship on 2/18, when he dropped CT off at the gym and never returned. He cut all comm lines to CT, stalled on paying rent, RV payments, etc. RV is in poor repair (leaks, flat tires, no propane, broken "slider" units). CT has since moved out of RV. (mid-May 2020) "As her 2D, he used up her retirement fund, house equity and some income to fund numerous of Burke's businesses and projects over a period of 15 years. All gone now." [Mary Blackford KR]

[SG note - I, Scott Gordon, was in touch with Carrie soon after Jonathan blew their relationship. I was in touch with Jonathan Burke offering them marriage counseling (they never formally married, but it qualified as a long-term common-law marriage) and Jonathan would not take me up, at one time accusing me of getting too close to Carrie that I had my own designs going, which backed me off following through my offer to audit her. Carrie was gracious about the offers of help going back to her struggles with their motor home in disrepair, until she finally had to move out of it in May of 2020.]

"Burke broke into his ex-partner's and his RV while she was sleeping in mid-March to retrieve his birth certificate. He claimed this was so he could get a new driver's license (his old one is from Minnesota). A birth certificate is also necessary for a visa to other countries, such as South Africa."
[Mary Blackford notes shared privately]

Also of interest is that Jonathan appointed Lisa Kemsley, who had only been in Scientology two months and whom he had never met in person, to replace Carrie T. as AO-GP's Deputy Executive Director. It was also revealed later that Lisa had a mental illness history and psychiatric treatment history. [summarized from Mary Blackford KR]

Jonathan continued taking money for getting this person ("Ike") off drugs, and at one point put this person into the Kansas State hospital "for two weeks to detox" - according to Carrie. Jonathan had

made this person a constant companion and brought him to Washington state where Jonathan received L-10 and L-11 from Patricia Krenik in July of 2020. [summarized from Mary Blackford KR]

"Ike B. was given the post of PES before he blew for six weeks to use heroin and was re-appointed PES within a month of being in detox and returning to AOGP." [Mary Blackford KR]

Ike B. left AOGP in March 2021 [Mary Blackford KR]

February/March 2020 -Mary Blackford did a Doubt formula as she began to see the way Jonathan flaunted ethics especially in chasing after another second dynamic adventure under highly inappropriate circumstances.

"I posted the results of my Doubt Formula on AOGP/Jonathan Burke on March 9, 2020. I have not been replaced as HES." [Mary Blackford KR]

"Burke took information from my Pre-OT folders and publicized it or used it to try to paint me as having evil intentions toward AOGP, to call me a 'non-producer' (this was data from my husband's folder, re: him paying my CC bill, which is my husband's and my personal agreement)."

[Mary Blackford - shared notes – "CC" = credit card]

April 30, 2020 - a \$40,000 loan to Mary Blackford comes due. (Jonathan has already threatened Mary's husband Bill on 30 December 2019, that if [drug addict] "Ike" leaves he won't pay it back [pure manipulation] and there are thousands of dollars in undelivered services to Bill and Mary, not to mention use of their house and a donated car, office supplies, desks and sauna for the organization that Jonathan closed down in 2020.) [source - Mary Blackford KR]

April 2020 – there was by this time, as Mary Blackford later came to add up, \$6,400 in unused services and un-reimbursed expenses loaned to Jonathan and his organization in purchases to cover emergencies impeding delivery. [source - Mary Blackford KR]

[note that to this day Jonathan has not returned or forwarded (as requested by Trey Lotz) any of Bill or Mary's folders]

~**April 2020** - With a loan coming due and apparently nothing set aside, Jonathan Burke cuts off communication with Bill and Mary Blackford, declining to answer texts. [source - Mary Blackford KR]

"The \$\$\$ paid to the org for services goes straight to Jonathan/CT's personal bank accounts and not to an org or business bank account. Per my estimation, JB has brought in at least \$150,000, perhaps as much as \$180,000 between March 2019-March 2020). Jonathan had a zero credit rating and no credit cards when I worked for AOGP." [Mary Blackford KR]

May 2020 – a person who had been abandoned by Jonathan incomplete on Excalibur, is serviced by Patricia Krenik through a CCRD and Ls (although afterward, there is no indication he ever was let back on and helped through Excalibur).

June 2020 - in just one of many such cases, a gentleman who loaned Jonathan Burke money is forced to settle for half the owed amount. Others have been left with nothing at all. [source - Mary Blackford KR]

July 2020 - "Generally, [Jonathan Burke] claimed that the favors he did made up for the money he owed. I personally cashed out my retirement (at 50%) to finance his business. He then conned his mom into setting her finances up as a trust with him as trust holder under the promise he'd give his daughter (who

she partially raised) half. He did not. He repeatedly said, 'She was MY mom,' and spent the entire inheritance and life insurance within six months." – public statement, Carrie T. 07/14/2020

August 2020

"...I love Ron especially for sticking to his guns and taking the tech of GPM's to a whole new level. I am humbled to receive, and I am even more humbled to deliver these fabulous levels myself as an auditor now..." – from Success Story of Jonathan Burke – August, 2020 after receiving the rest of the three Ls - L10 and L12, from Patricia Krenik

[SG note – outpoint in claiming he was qualified to deliver these levels in 2017 (his Scientolipedia page) and in 2018 (represented to Theresa Burke) even though he had never received them, and training on the Ls has always started with L-10 (we will only ever see a certificate for L-11 “Theory & Practical”) - and has always included co-auditing them before graduation – instead, it seems that Jonathan has decided that since he has received these levels, knows what they are about, and has materials covering them, that he is now qualified to deliver them on that basis - he has since given out various claims of his training and/or reasons he is qualified to deliver - all of which would not really be an issue if he was just being honest about his actual qualifications and not just trying to reg people for more money]

September 2020 - "We were awarded judgment in our favor on Sept. 23, 2020 per original agreement: \$40,000 principal, 15% flat interest, plus 9% statutory interest." [Mary Blackford KR]

Post-2020

Off to South Africa

~date? - Jonathan blows the USA (no arrangements made to repay Mary Blackford) to join his new partner in South Africa:

"(Burke abandoned his [stolen-re-sold Cadillac] Escalade at A.'s [Jonathan's daughter] apartment garage. Also his three cats, OT Pre-OT folders!!!, books and other files. A. had to dispose of the car to a junk dealer, the cats got re-homed, and I burned the Pre-OT folders after I couldn't find the Pre-OTs.)" [Mary Blackford KR]

2025-2026

Jonathan Burke has remained in South Africa, separated from and getting a divorce from Lisa Kemsley, and continuing as Executive Director for AO-GP.

2025-2026

More and new reports have surfaced of others trusting Jonathan with their money as advanced payments or loans which resulted in huge disappointment and

March 2026 – I, Scott Gordon, personally witnessed Jonathan Burke threaten and intimidate Martin Gibson using both inappropriate misuse of his OCA graph, and misusing potentially dangerous-level information revealed to Jonathan in confidence while in word-clearing sessions and out of them (notes to C/S) in preparation for an auditing program to Clear. This was a serious and severe violation of the Auditor's Code:

"I reached for services. He tried to manipulate and lie to me, I queried because I sensed an outpoint (intuition), he denied and refused to show stats (on money, delivery etc) - then he continued to reg me and audit me over the doubt. I have decent intuition sometimes - he was giving me wrong items.

"I investigated and it confirmed he lied.

"That is a breach of the auditors code - invalidation of case or gains, in or out of session. Refusing to run the right process - he never established trust with honesty (ref - Pre-session Processes)." - Martin Gibson 05 Jun 2026

[SG note - some more examples of the above have surfaced, with at least one preferring to remain anonymous - however, Lisa Kemsley has spoken up, completely in line with the above, and her story serves as an example of Jonathan "feeding" (probing and suggesting) Clear cognitions, and then jumping on the resulting excitement to now Date/Locate "going Clear" - all without a proper Sec Check and CCRD or DSCI case completion]

"No one seems to notice that all his PCs are all past-life Clears, because he doesn't know the Dianetics tech (how Clears and real OTs are made) and is shy of using it at all." [KR of Mary Blackford – quoting C.B.]

INVESTIGATIVE CONCLUSIONS

- * Jonathan has been deeply involved in financial irregularities since at least 2006, and this has only gotten worse.
- * Jonathan has avoided and evaded obvious handlings (FPRD Sec Checking, and ultimately, Expanded Dianetics) on himself for his out-ethics.
- * Another strategy that has been observed in Jonathan is his attacking and introverting those who could find out or reveal the things he has done and said that he does not want revealed.
- * Jonathan's strategy of blaming others for his ethical deterioration culminated recently in his issuing of an internal report (much of it then disseminated publicly) that asserts he has been the victim of long-running "Black PR" and "3rd Party" campaigns.
- * How do you want to interpret Jonathans complexity in this area? Does he have ambitious pro-survival goals and then turn to clients in desperation to get them financed, leading him to taking desperate measures and leaving many behind – empty-handed after giving him money and support? Or, does he use Scientology to finance his own ambitious and selfish goals, while covering this up with apparently helpful projects to keep others from scrutinizing his out-ethics? The answer is probably somewhere in-between, but the conclusions you draw should be your own. Jonathan generates good auditing and good activities, by several reports, but also, a lot of PR has been used to cover up very unethical behavior and a number of disastrous outcomes.
- * Jonathan can NOT be trusted as a case supervisor or programmer. He qualifies as fitting some of the points of HCOB "The Dangerous Auditor" and he regularly shuns the proper use of Dianetics, in favor of the much easier and more financially rewarding solution in arbitrarily directing NOTs and Excalibur on people who have become dependent on his control and direction because they were never properly set up to begin with (an experienced auditor properly and thoroughly set up could practically self-C/S and navigate the OT levels on his/her own).
- * It has been recently demonstrated and documented that Jonathan can NOT be trusted to keep the Auditor's Code on anyone he deems has "turned against" him, or "succumbed to the third party campaigns" he alleges have been waged against him. This is convenient for him, because he gets to keep the money. This is *tragic* to witness, because Jonathan is a capable and competent auditor in

many areas, and such is desperately needed in our field. Therefore this is PRIORITY ONE in handling Jonathan Burke - even more so than the financial irregularities, which are nevertheless a very high priority concern. *Both* of these concerns ENDANGER the future practice of Scientology and Auditing, as violations can attract government involvement and give suppressives a pretext for regulating and shutting down Scientology in any of its forms - whether corporate, or free, independent practice.

* Until Jonathan has demonstrably handled his situation and demonstrated ethics change and progress in repayments and amends, Jonathan must be shunned by any caring Scientologist in the field until he has demonstrably handled his situation. Any of his adherents should be muzzled and marginalized, because any further promotion of his case supervising and auditing activity endangers Scientology and its future practice. This is going to further divide the field, but it *must* be confronted and the division must be enforced until there is no longer a condition of group DANGER for the practice of Scientology auditing in the field.

* AO-GP needs to be looked at as a potentially viable asset to future Scientology delivery. Its students and clients should not be shunned only for their association. What needs to be shunned is only any activity which can be seen to promote or seek to exonerate Jonathan and his delivery, rather than general services which keep an organization there (which Jonathan, too, needs there to help him straighten out his situation).

[We correctly tolerate adherents of Justin Craig's Esperianism in our social media groups. We need to continue to work with AO-GP staff and public with mutual respect.]

* It is just as suppressive to seek to shut AO-GP down as an activity independent of Jonathan Burke, as it is to look the other way while Jonathan Burke hurts people. Do not engage in either one. I have begun by seeking to set a correct example by allowing AO-GP promotion and its members in my groups. I have also allowed open defense and justification of Jonathan's activities which have come under scrutiny, but that is at an end. Now that we have a clear idea of the extent and magnitude of Jonathan's dishonesty, financial irregularities, arbitrary exertion of authority, and outright bullying, anyone seeking to rationalize, defend and especially to shame or otherwise attack his victims should be warned and then expelled from all social spaces where they will not cease and desist.

* Patricia Krenik has been a great help to others in the field, and has repaired much case damage and incorrect bridge steps on Jonathan's behalf. She has separated herself at times, from Jonathan, but, however, has also continued giving more support and defense to him than is warranted, given the quantity and quality of damage caused to his victims. As noted, she participated in a scheme in 2013/2014 to concoct a new case action mainly to be used as an excuse to ask even more money from the client who already had money on account. This needs to be handled, and Patricia needs to come clean with the field on any other out-tech or mistakes she might have made under his influence.

[Patricia has been overly promoting Justin "Lafayette" Craig's squirrel versions of auditing best practices in particular, so this now makes TWO CRIMINALS in recent years that have been supported and promoted by Patricia Krenik.]

* Jonathan is advised to create a list in date order, of oldest debts, mistakes and overts, and repay or redeem them, making them right, one-by-one, while discontinuing any selling of advance services ("packages") of any kind. He needs to simply *produce* as an auditor so that this comes about.

* Jonathan is advised to find an auditor he trusts to continue and complete his FPRD Sec-Checking in order to assist him case-wise, at his own expense, in making real progress on the above. But Sec Checking or not (it is not necessary to submit to a security check when one can simply decide to change ones ways), anything less than active progress in handling his out-exchange is unacceptable.

* Jonathan is advised to re-consider what Geoffrey Filbert (top Saint Hill student and author of "Excalibur Revisited" which completely preempted copyrights from RTC in early 1982 and gave the tech freely to the independent field) called a "Class VIII arrogance" towards the lower bridge Grades and Dianetics. Not doing so could be fatal to certain cases who may go onto advanced levels without training or case stability. This, left uncorrected, completely disqualifies him from C/Sing cases as he is not really adhering to the LRH master C/S of the Grade Chart and all of its rationale given in HCOB 31 Aug 74 RA - C/S Series 93RA - NEW GRADE CHART, where it states immediately: *"The "NEW" thing to do is the Grade Chart. Everything you are doing should contribute to getting the pc up the Bridge. THIS is the Bridge."*

This bears mentioning, because Jonathan has been seen stating that the Grade Chart has been "modernized" (presumably especially for NOTs and past life Clear attests, which Jonathan does a whole lot of) - which is contrary to HCO PL TECH DEGRADES, so therefore, this bulletin remains in force, along with the bridge outlined in it.

This quickie tendency and all of its considerations and justifications must not remain uncorrected.

* When real progress has been demonstrated, Jonathan can expect to have such made known on the same lines as this report has gone out on. When such handlings are substantially complete, he can only then expect that this report will disappear from public access.

“Just a Game?”
(a fatal justification)

While insouciance and “spirit of play” are key factors in defeating the seriousness of MEST agreement, this does not mean there are not serious aspects of existence. To exclude this actuality is actually a degree of unreality on things alluded to in HCOB – XDN Series – THE GAMBLER. For a "Gambler," there is *only* “games” and nothing else. Nothing is serious or consequential, and there is no sense of eternal accountability.

Other places where LRH describes "the real deal" – as life being a critical venture, with potentially serious consequences for dismissing such a reality, start, of course, with those well-known excerpts near the end of "Keeping Scientology Working" – Series 1.

But also, we have a rather stern warning from Hubbard regarding misuse of finances in the HCO PL of 14 March 1982 on Financial Irregularities:

"This is not a plea. I am just pointing out that org monies misdirected in this life could very well guarantee a very nasty next.

"Millions of criminals suffering in prisons share this in common with those who engage in org financial irregularities - they too thought there were no consequences. But those criminals have it easy. They are only suffering this life.

"Anyone who has misdirected Scientology org monies will, of course, try to brush it off in various ways - black PR, belittlement, seeking to make nothing of the crime. But it won't brush off, brother, it won't brush off. THAT crime stood in the way of freedom. You better believe it." - LRH

It is noted that Jonathan has directed monies – thousands and thousands of dollars – into various organizational efforts towards clearing and putting an org there, as well as providing free materials for a time, to the field. This has certainly been of some benefit to many. No doubt he will protest that the policy letter is being misapplied for him.

Nevertheless, one must not be trapped into believing that collecting money for future auditing – as perhaps an “individual auditing arrangement” – somehow escapes the scope of proper use of "org monies" – when this is money being directed for the exact same purpose of clearing people that orgs are supposed to be engaged in.

The concept of what Jonathan has been engaged in – numerous examples of "partial exchange" – carry implicitly within them, elements of both "fair exchange" and "criminal exchange." Where delivery has been forfeited at Jonathan's whims or by ARC breaking his clients into apathy, "criminal exchange" – more and more evident to be the case – is the proper label when the unused monies are not returned due to non-delivery.

KSW #1 warns against "failing to deliver" -

"The only thing you can be upbraided for by students or pcs is 'no results.' Trouble spots occur only where there are 'no results.' Attacks from governments or monopolies occur only where there are 'no results' or 'bad results'."

If it's not completely obvious, no auditing or failed auditing is the worst example of "no results." Quickie pushes, "up to OT III fast" – or, as in cases we have seen with Jonathan – skipping Grades altogether, only Date/Locating Clear without CCRD, quickie OT II and III and prematurely working on "that entity you've got right now" – is risking far worse than just "no results." Psychotic breaks have happened before, even with those with full prerequisites "in" – simply because no one noticed their cases were not actually complete in some critical areas.

This "OT arrogance" as an attitude (mentioned above and described in more detail by Geoffrey Filbert as "Class VIII attitude" in his book *Excalibur Revisited*) – when such an attitude goes so far as to get one disconnected from Objective Reality – can easily be used to justify breaking legitimate rules that govern whether or not we hurt each other with consequence – not just the silly rules we've used to control each other, which should rightly be ignored with insouciance.

Rather, there are in fact vital, fundamental Natural Laws of Existence that, ignored, invariably lead to ruin – bringing about a dismal payback realized under the principle of karma (even if in some distant future, but usually with some kind of immediate repercussions - the kind we see playing out in the field affected by Jonathan Burke's dealings with the financing of the auditing he is supposed to be engaged in delivering).

WE FULLY EXPECT MORE SCIENTOLOGISTS TO COME FORWARD WITH REPORTS OF ABUSE. In the technical reports and summaries mentioned above, only one of the out-tech cases was featured (and this one anonymously), since one other couple were so devastated by their ruined bridge experience that they refused further communication or even use of their story. Those who did come forward recently, voiced strong concerns about retaliation – including, even, misuse of their confidential data in the same way that is reported above, which took place recently. These Scientologists had been victimized in isolation, but now finally have something in all this, to reference similarity of outcomes and to validate their experiences – all with a chance to build a support network.

Jonathan has recently attempted to paint his detractors as a “third party campaign” – connected to a single, “third party” source, or even as “competitors” in Scientology auditing delivery – but these theories have not survived this investigation into those who actually suffered the consequences of his misrepresentations and what amounts to *fraud*, and the disturbing pattern revealed in uncovering it all.

Finally:

All contributors to this investigation are appreciated and commended for putting their necks on the line, and caring enough about their fellow Scientologists to make these abuses known, despite personal danger.

This is True and Okay,

-Scott Gordon (author of report and contributing veteran investigator)
Auditor Class 0+ - former DSA CC Dallas and former DSA Costa Rica
Qualifications Executive - FreeScientology (a non-profit, anti-authoritarian organization)

[Unless otherwise attributed or unless placed in quotes, any additional statements in this report are made by the author of this report, Scott Gordon. (All quotations have been carefully formatted.)]

Quotes and summaries taken mainly from:

- Mary Blackford – KR and notes (mostly 2020 – resulting from a Doubt formula as AO-GP staff)
[Mary has done the real work in her long-running investigation and painstaking documentation, and we owe her an enormous debt for having gotten so much of this work done. Special thanks also, for her diligent assistance in finalizing this presentation with me.]

- Pablo Fernandez – KR of 22 May 2026

- Martin Gibson – KR of March 2026

- Pierre Bartnik – valuable technical reports and summaries

- a collection of various statements and disclosures made in public forums

And special thanks to:

- Dan Locke – volunteer investigator calling for and collecting up reports, conducting interviews, and continuing to put Scientologists in communication

* all of whom are thanked for their contribution and for bravely coming forward to help put ethics in, restore a sense of order, make corrections possible, and, hopefully, prevent further harm to others.